

# NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - 2006 Grazing Lands - Carrizozo F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 150 Potential Points (25-50% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment		% Area in Contract After Treatment		Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
<b>Rangelands:</b>	SI of 76-100 w/trend up or not apparent	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>150</b>		
	Ecological SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>120</b>		
	Site SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>80</b>		
	Similarity SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>100</b>		
	Index SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>40</b>		
	(SI)* SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>50</b>		
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	<b>0</b>		
<b>Riparian:</b>	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	same pts as SI 76-100		
<b>Grazed Forest:</b>	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	same pts as SI 76-100		
<b>1. Plants</b>		Total	100%	Total	100%	<b>Total:</b>		

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 120 Potential Points (25-65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.					Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil Erosion</b> (sheet, rill, wind)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					<b>30</b>		
Brush Management-314 Medium					<b>20</b>		
<b>Water Quantity</b> (Overland Flow)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					<b>30</b>		
Brush Management-314 Medium					<b>20</b>		
<b>Water Quality</b> (surface water contaminants)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					<b>30</b>		
Brush Management-314 Medium					<b>20</b>		
<b>Plants</b> (Productivity)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					<b>30</b>		
Brush Management-314 Medium					<b>20</b>		
Fence-382					<b>10</b>		
Pipeline-516					<b>10</b>		
Water Facility-614					<b>10</b>		
Well-642					<b>10</b>		
<b>Animals</b> (population & resource balance)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					<b>30</b>		
Brush Management-314 Medium					<b>20</b>		
Fence-382					<b>10</b>		
Pipeline-516					<b>10</b>		
Water Facility-614					<b>10</b>		
Well-642					<b>10</b>		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>					<b>Total:</b>		

# NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - 2006 Grazing Lands - Carrizozo F.O.

## 3. Other Considerations - 30 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 309 project.	5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	10	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 3 \_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_

Designated Conservationist \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Revised Nov. 2003